

## REMARKS

This is in response to the Communication, mailed January 26, 2005. The Communication stated that Applicant's Amendment and Communication, mailed April 16, 2004, was non-compliant, in that each claim was not provided with the proper status modifier.

Specifically, the Examiner stated that the use of "Previously amended" and "Newly presented" were inappropriate, and should be replaced with "Previously presented" and "New".


Applicant has submitted herewith a new complete claim listing, in accordance with the Communication. Therefore, Applicant submits that all of the requirements of the Communication should be deemed met. Entry of this response and continued examination, toward allowance of the application as a whole, are respectfully solicited.

Should anything further be required, a telephone call to the undersigned at (312) 456-8400 is respectfully requested.

Respectfully submitted,


GREENBERG TRAURIG

Dated: February 10, 2005

  
Douglas B. Teaney  
One of Attorneys for Applicant

## CERTIFICATE OF MAILING

I hereby certify that this correspondence is being deposited with the United States Postal Service as first class mail in an envelope addressed to: Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450, on February 10, 2005.

  
Douglas B. Teaney